

Gardasil - Cancer Preventive or Cancer Cause?

Gardasil®, Merck's "Cervical Cancer Vaccine", released in 2006 has received multiple attacks:

- The FDA licensed Gardasil without a single case of cervical cancer being prevented.
- Human Papilloma Virus (HPV) alone is insufficient to cause cancer.
- Cervical cancer is almost 100% preventable by making simple lifestyle choices of good diet and avoiding toxic chemicals.
- Cervical cancer tumors that contain HPV are easier to cure than tumors not containing HPV.
- Gardasil may actually increase cancers, both cervical and otherwise.
- The percentage of recipients who experience adverse reactions to Gardasil is higher than for other vaccines.
- A lead researcher in the development of the HPV vaccine has opposed giving the vaccine to young girls.
- Gardasil's use encourages promiscuity.

FDA approval required no evidence of effectiveness.

The April 2006 internet issue of *Cancer Monthly* (1) states, "When *Cancer Monthly* began looking at the research that enabled this "cervical cancer vaccine" to receive FDA approval we were astounded to find that this approval was not based on the vaccine's actual prevention of cervical cancer. Instead, a surrogate was used - precancerous lesions." Yet without preventing a single case of cervical cancer, Merck had the audacity to claim the vaccine was 100% effective against the strains of HPV that Gardasil targets.



What really causes cervical cancer?

At current incidence rates, if one exposes 200 women to HPV, 199 will clear the virus from their system quickly with no problems. Why does the 200th woman develop cancer, usually decades later? Typically, cervical cancer exists in tissue with high levels of toxic chemistry and low levels of immune system enhancing nutrients. So the true cause of cervical cancer is not HP virus but **malnutrition aggravated by toxins**. The poisons typically come from heavy tobacco use and/or the use of oral contraceptives. *Cancer Monthly*(2), points out, 'Dr. Elizabeth Unger of the Centers for Disease Control stated, "So it is believed that infection alone is insufficient to cause cancer, and additional factors are required for neoplasia. ..."

What is the preventive for cervical cancer?

Given that the cause is **malnutrition aggravated by toxins**, it follows with certainty that **virtually 100% of cervical cancer is preventable by eating more fruits and vegetables, rejecting junk food and maintaining low levels of toxic exposure for the body**. Logically, no ingredient of the vaccine can substitute for a healthy lifestyle. No young girl should be made to feel that her only choices are celibacy, unproven and harmful vaccinations, or cancer.

Does it make sense to target a virus which does not cause cancer?

Again, according to *Cancer Monthly*(3), from the text *Cancer: Principles & Practice of Oncology*, "In most studies, HPV status was not a strong independent prognosticator of outcome in cervical cancer patients; however there appears to be a trend for HPV-negative tumors to do worse... those tumors containing HPV DNA tend to be of an early stage and low grade." This suggests that if the goal is to reduce deaths from cervical cancer the target should not be HPV at all because the tumors without HPV actually "do worse." In Merck's pre-licensing trials, recipients of Gardasil who already had an infection of one of the four vaccine targeted HPV types suffered 44.6% HIGHER rates of precancerous indications than those receiving aluminum containing "placebos."(4) Gardasil has not been tested for cancer causing potential. However, the vaccine contains aluminum hydroxide which has been used in both human and animal vaccines for many years and both cats and dogs have experienced an increased incidence of cancer at their vaccine injection

sites.(5) Humans have experienced an increase of autoimmune diseases, cancer and Alzheimer's all of which are associated by some researchers with aluminum toxicity.(6) Researchers anticipate that Gardasil will increase cancers, both cervical and otherwise.

Is the vaccine safe?

No vaccine is safe. However, according to the National Vaccine Information Center's (NVIC), President, Barbara Loe Fisher, "There are twice as many children collapsing [fainting] and four times as many children experiencing tingling, numbness and loss of sensation after getting a GARDASIL vaccination compared to those getting a Tdap (tetanus-diphtheria-acellular pertussis) vaccination. There have been reports of facial paralysis and Guillain-Barre Syndrome. And doctors who give GARDASIL in combination with other vaccines are basically conducting an experiment on their young patients because Merck has not published any safety data for simultaneous vaccination with any vaccine except hepatitis B vaccine."(7) The full extent of the damage created by this vaccine will not be known for many years. A search of the VAERS online database, August, 2015, shows 40,700 HPV vaccine-related adverse events. These reports include 12,749 Emergency Room visits; 4,094 were Hospitalized; 701 were Life Threatening and 232 deaths following 1, 2 or 3 HPV vaccines in the U.S. alone.(8)

Lead researcher blasts giving the vaccine to nine-year-old girls.

Diane M. Harper, a lead researcher in the development of the humanpapilloma virus vaccine, says giving the drug to 11-year-old girls "is a great big public health experiment." LEBANON, N.H. — After spending 20 years developing the vaccine for humanpapilloma virus, Diane Harper states the HPV vaccine is not for younger girls, and that it is "silly" for states to be mandating it for them. Not only that, she says it's not been tested for effectiveness in younger girls, and administering the vaccine to girls as young as 9 may not even protect them at all. And, in the worst-case scenario, instead of serving to reduce the numbers of cervical cancers within 25 years, such a vaccination crusade actually could cause the numbers to go up.(9)

Is there a moral dilemma about giving this vaccine to nine-year-old girls?

The perception that giving this vaccine to young girls encourages promiscuity while simultaneously improving young girl's health creates a moral dilemma for parents but only until parents understand how the body works naturally and that health is maintained through diet and other healthy living practices. Vaccines have no legitimate place in a health program. The only informed choice is to reject using this vaccine in all age groups. An increasing amount of scientific information has come to light so that making informed choices about all vaccines is now possible.

References:

- (1), (2)and (3) *Cancer Monthly, The Source for Cancer Treatment Results* posted on Wednesday, April 18, 2007, [Gardasil – The Cervical Cancer Vaccine?](#)
found at: <http://www.cancermonthly.com/iNP/view.asp?ID=169>
- (4) Document on Gardasil, the HPV Quadrivalent Vaccine, presented to the FDA by Merck & Co., Inc. at the May 18, 2006 VRBPAC Meeting found at: <http://www.NaturalNews.com/downloads/FDA-Gardasil.pdf>
- (5) *PET VACCINATION An Institutionalized Crime* by Catherine O'Driscoll
found at: <http://www.whale.to/vaccine/driscoll1.html>
- (6) Adjuvant Index page at: <http://www.vaclib.org/basic/adjuvants.htm>
- (7) VACCINE SAFETY GROUP RELEASES GARDASIL REACTION REPORT
February 21, 2007 <http://www.nvic.org/Diseases/HPV/pr022107HPV.htm>
<http://www.medalerts.org/vaersdb/> VAERS online Database.
- (8) *Researcher blasts HPV marketing* by Cindy Bevington
http://www.kpcnews.com/articles/2007/03/14/online_features/hpv_vaccine/hpv01.txt

Note: Graphic on page 1 was found at: <http://www.thejabberwock.org/blog/merck.png>

For more information and links to the above references, see:
<http://www.vaclib.org/news/2006/gardasil.htm>

Call: (208) 255-2307 or write:
Vaccination Liberation - Idaho Chapter,
P.O. Box 457, Spirit Lake, Idaho 83869-0457

(Rev: October 2015)